

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,394	08/28/2003	Douglas Mark Kennedy	10021161-1	6752
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599			EXAMINER BECKER, SHASHI KAMALA	
			2179	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/650,394	KENNEDY, DOUGLAS MARK			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shashi K. Becker	2179			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 						
Status						
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 O	ctober 2003.				
2a)□		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims		•			
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	,			
Application	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ⊠ 1	The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 August 2003</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
A 44 ~ 4						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
· 	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) X Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 10/14/03.	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application			
. 4701	·					

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tran, US 2004/0054688 in view of Pulley et al (hereinafter Pulley), US 2002/087679.
 - In regards to claims 1, 15, and 31, Tran teaches a method and a system for tracking issues, comprising: providing a log-in page to log-in a user; receiving user information from the user in the log-in page (page 3 paragraph [0028]); providing one of a plurality of interface pages to process an issue (page 2 paragraphs [0026] and [0027]); , wherein the interface page has a configuration corresponding to a predetermined access level of the user (page 3 paragraph [0033]); providing an issue record (page 2 paragraphs [0026] and [0027]). However, Tran does not specifically teach providing an embedded uniform resource locator of the issue record.

Pulley teaches systems and methods for monitoring website activity in real time.

Pulley further teaches providing an embedded uniform resource locator of the issue record (page 8 paragraph [0098]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method and apparatus of Tran to include the teachings of Pulley in order to have URLs of the

Art Unit: 2179

issue records. One would have been motivated to make such a combination in order to track and organize issue reports effectively.

- In regards to claims 2 and 16, Tran teaches the previously stated limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). However, Tran does not specifically teach further compromising, responsive to receiving the user information, providing a last requested page from a prior login by the user. Pulley teaches the above limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). Pulley further teaches further compromising, responsive to receiving the user information, providing a last requested page from a prior login by the user (page 5 paragraph [0065]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method and apparatus of Tran to include the teachings of Pulley in order to provide a last requested page from the user. One would have been motivated to make such a combination in order to track the history of the activity of the user (page 5 paragraph [0062]).
- In regards to claims 3 and 17, Tran teaches the previously stated limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). Tran further teaches, wherein providing one of a plurality of interface pages to process an issue, the processing comprises at least one of viewing the issue, submitting the issue, assigning the issue, resolving the issue, closing the issue, modifying the issue, providing metrics of the issue, and assigning user responsibility for the processing of the issue (page 3 paragraph [0032]).

• In regards to claims 4 and 18, Tran teaches the previously stated limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). Tran further teaches wherein viewing the issue further comprises sorting the issue by at least one of average severity, a person who submitted the issue, submission date, issue identification number, by state of the issue, and by owner of the issue (page 3 paragraph [0028]).

- In regards to claims 5 and 19, Tran teaches the previously stated limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). Tran further teaches, wherein providing one of a plurality of interface pages to process an issue, the processing corresponds to at least one of a plurality of projects (Figure 6C).
- In regards to claims 6 and 20, Tran teaches the previously stated limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). However, Tran does not specifically teach wherein providing one of a plurality of interface pages comprises providing uniform resource locators for at least one of the plurality of interface pages. Pulley teaches the above limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). Pulley further teaches wherein providing one of a plurality of interface pages comprises providing uniform resource locators for at least one of the plurality of interface pages (page 8 paragraph [0098]). It would have been obvious for the reasons stated above (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*).
- In regards to claims 7 and 21, Tran teaches the previously stated limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). However, Tran does not specifically teach, wherein providing one of a plurality of interface pages comprises providing

Art Unit: 2179

uniform resource locators for pages corresponding to selectable icons disposed in the one of a plurality of interface pages.

Pulley teaches the above limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). Pulley further teaches wherein providing one of a plurality of interface pages comprises providing uniform resource locators for pages corresponding to selectable icons disposed in the one of a plurality of interface pages (page 8 paragraph [0098]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method and apparatus of Tran to include the teachings of Pulley in order to view the URL pages. One would have been motivated to make such a combination in order to select the URL to the corresponding interface page.

- In regards to claims 8 and 22, Tran teaches the previously stated limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). Tran further teaches, wherein providing one of a plurality of interface pages comprises providing at least one of a tabulated display and a graphical display of metrics corresponding to the issue (Figure 6C).
- In regards to claims 9 and 23, Tran teaches the previously stated limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). Tran further teaches, wherein the graphical display of metrics of the issue can be provided as a function of area corresponding to the issue, a version of the issue, a state of the issue, date of occurrence of the issue, method of resolution of the issue, calculated severity of the issue, project members, and project (page 3 paragraph [0028]).

Art Unit: 2179

- In regards to claims 10 and 24, Tran teaches the previously stated limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). Tran further teaches further comprising providing a printer-friendly version of the interface page (page 3 paragraph [0032]).
- In regards to claims 11 and 25, Tran teaches the previously stated limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). However Tran does not specifically teach, further comprising calculating and displaying percentage of open issues, percentage of closed issues, percentage of resolved issues, totals, site usage, and average open severity.

Pulley teaches the above limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). Pulley further teaches further comprising calculating and displaying percentage of open issues, percentage of closed issues, percentage of resolved issues, totals, site usage, and average open severity (page 15 paragraph [0174]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method and apparatus of Tran to include the teachings of Pulley in order to calculate totals and site usage. One would have been motivated to make such a combination in order to further track all components of issues and errors.

• In regards to claims 12 and 26, Tran teaches the previously stated limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). Tran further teaches a history of the processing of the issue (page 3 paragraph [0032]).

Application/Control Number: 10/650,394 Page 7

Art Unit: 2179

• In regards to claims 13 and 27, Tran teaches the previously stated limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). However Tran does not specifically teach, further comprising providing an email notification to predetermined users in response to processing the issue, wherein the email notification comprises an embedded uniform resource locator of the issue record.

Pulley teaches systems and methods for monitoring website activity in real time. Pulley further teaches providing an embedded uniform resource locator of the issue record (page 8 paragraph [0098]). It would have been obvious for the reasons stated above (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*).

- In regards to claims 12 and 26, Tran teaches the previously stated limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). Tran further teaches, further comprising postponing the processing of the issue, duplicating the issue record, forwarding the issue record, and deleting the issue record (page 3 paragraph [0032]).
- In regards to claim 29, Tran teaches the previously stated limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). Tran further teaches, wherein the processor is configured with software in memory (page 2 paragraphs [0021] and [0023]).
- In regards to claim 30, Tran teaches the previously stated limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). Tran further teaches, wherein the processor is configured with hardware (page 2 paragraphs [0021] and [0023]).
- In regards to claim 32, Tran teaches the previously stated limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). Tran further teaches, wherein the means for

Art Unit: 2179

providing a log-in page, means for receiving user information, means for providing one of a plurality of interface pages to process an issue, means for providing an issue record, and means for providing an embedded uniform resource locator of the issue record is implemented with a processor configured with software (page 2 paragraph [0021] and [0023]).

Page 8

• In regards to claim 33, Tran teaches the previously stated limitations (see claims 1, 15, and 31 *supra*). Tran further teaches, wherein the means for providing a log-in page, means for receiving user information, means for providing one of a plurality of interface pages to process an issue, means for providing an issue record, and means for providing an embedded uniform resource locator of the issue record is implemented with a processor configured with hardware (page 2 paragraph [0021] and [0023]).

Art Unit: 2179

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shashi K. Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-8919. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on 571-272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SKB